# Case 5:15-cv-02502-RMW Document 33 Filed 10/22/15 Page 1 of 3

1	JAMES McMANIS (40958)			
2	TYLER ATKINSON (257997) HILARY WEDDELL (293276) McMANIS FAULKNER 50 W. San Fernando Street, 10th Floor			
3				
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 279-8700			
5	Facsimile: (408) 279-3244 tatkinson@mcmanislaw.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff,			
7	SHIOW-HUEY CHANG			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	SHIOW-HUEY CHANG,	Case No. Case No. 15-cv-02502 RMW (NC)		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND		
13	VS.	DISCOVERY DEADLINE; <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER		
14	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, a public			
15	entity, SANTA CLARA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, a department of the			
16	County of Santa Clara, DEPUTY DANIEL J. FOREST, an individual, DEPUTY			
17	STRICKLAND, an individual, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,			
18	Defendants.			
19				
20				
21	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 29, and Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-			
22	12, Plaintiff, Shiow-Huey Chang, and Defendants hereby request an extension of the fact			
23	discovery cut-off in this case. Under the Order After Initial Case Management Conference, the			
24	current fact discovery deadline is November 25, 2015. The parties request the deadline be			
25	extended to February 18, 2016.			
26				
27	///			
28				
20		1		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER; Case No.: 15-cv-02502 RMW (NC)			

## 1

## 2 3

## 4

## 5 6

## 7

### 8 9

# 10

### 11 12

## 13

## 14

## 15 16

#### 17

#### 18

## 19

_	Λ	
2	U	
	-	

1	1
1.	1
_	

#### 22

#### 23

#### 24

#### 25

#### 26

#### 27

#### 28

## REASONS FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

This action commenced on June 5, 2015. Defendants filed an answer to the complaint on July 1, 2015. The Initial Case Management Conference ("initial CMC") was held on September 14, 2015.

The parties sought discovery at their earliest opportunity, and discovery has continued in earnest. Pursuant to the Court's order following the initial CMC, fact discovery is scheduled to close next month. However, the parties believe their preparation for trial would benefit from additional time to conduct fact discovery.

In addition, during discovery, plaintiff has ascertained the names of percipient witnesses, all employees or former employees of the defendant County of Santa Clara. An enlargement of the deadline to complete discovery will allow the parties to select deposition dates convenient to counsel and the witnesses.

Lastly, this matter is scheduled for a settlement conference before Magistrate Nathanael Cousins on December 8, 2015. The parties would like to reserve the possibility to conduct discovery after the settlement conference, and thereby conserve resources in the event the matter settles in December.

#### ALL PREVIOUS TIME MODIFICATIONS

There have been no time modifications in this case to date.

# ///

///

- ///
- ///
- ///
- ///
- ///

## 

- 1		
1	EFFECT OF REQUESTED TIME MODIFICATION	
2	This request would have no effect on other deadlines previously set by the Court.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4		
5	DATED: October 19, 2015 McMANIS FAULKNER	
6		
7	JAMES McMANIS	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		
10	DATED: October 19, 2015	
11	/s/	
12	DAVID ROLLO Attorneys for Defendants	
13		
14		
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16		
17	DATED: 10/22/2015	
18	United States District Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	STIPLILATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE: [PROPOSED] ORDER:	

Case No.: 15-cv-02502 RMW (NC)